



BEFORE THE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 1999-469-C

In RE:) De la Carlo	
Review of Proposed) AT&T's RESPONSE TO BELLS	OUTHOO .
Guidelines for Rates) MOTION TO STRIKE	Familiary Control of C
Set by BellSouth	The state of the s	National Control of the Control of t
Telecommunications, Inc.) HAE S.	C. PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISS
Pursuant to)	ECEIVE
S.C. Code Ann. § 58-9-576)	
3)	(LLAR 1 n 2000 H
	-)	

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. (AT&T) makes the following response to the Motion of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) to strike the testimony of Gregory J. Tate.

- 1. S.C. Code Ann. § 58-9-576 (Supp. 1998), authorizing the election by a local exchange carrier (LEC) for alternative forms of regulation, specifically provides in subsection 5 that the LEC shall set rates for all services, with specified exceptions, on a basis that does not unreasonably discriminate between similarly situated customers, and further provides that such rates shall be subject to a complaint process.
- 2. In his prefiled testimony, Gregory J. Tate asserts, with supporting documented analyses, that BellSouth's rates for switched access services are indeed discriminatory and constitute an abuse of market position.
- 3. The testimony of Mr. Tate is specifically responsive to the direct testimony filed by Alphonso J. Varner of BellSouth beginning at page 8, line 14 and continuing through page 11, line 15. In fact, Mr. Varner's testimony states at page 8, line 18 that BellSouth's prices "will be

set" on a basis that does not unreasonably discriminate between similarly situated customers, leaving the inference that they presently discriminate, but that this situation will be corrected in the future. This is an inference complètely consistent with the factual circumstances described in Mr. Tate's testimony.

4. The Commission should not wish to approve guidelines implementing an election for alternative regulation with specific knowledge that BellSouth's rates may be in violation of § 58-9-576 (5). It is the most efficient use of the Commission's time to address this matter in this docket and correct any unreasonable rate discrimination between similarly situated customers at the outset. To do otherwise would necessitate the subsequent filing of a complaint upon the issuance of an Order in this docket, thereby protracting the harm to rate payers affected by such discriminatory rates.

WHEREFORE, AT&T respectfully prays that BellSouth's Motion to Strike be dismissed.

AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, INC.

Bv

Francis P. Mood Steve A. Matthews

Sinkler & Boyd, P.A.

Post Office Box 11889

Columbia, SC 29211

(803) 779-3080

Roxanne Douglas AT&T Communications, Inc. 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309

(404) 810-8670

Its Attorneys

March 9, 2000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have this 9th day of March, 2000 sent via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of a LETTER TO GARY E. WALSH, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA, DATED MARCH 9, 2000 RESPONSE TO BELLSOUTH'S MOTION TO STRIKE ON BEHALF OF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, INC. to the following:

David Butler, Esquire South Carolina Public Service Commission Post Office Drawer 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 Counsel for Public Service Commission

Caroline N. Watson, Esquire BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Post Office Box 752 Columbia, SC 29202 Counsel for BellSouth

Elliott F. Elam, Jr.
P. O. Box 5757
Columbia, SC 29250
County for Consumer Advocate

Darra W. Cothran, Esquire Woodward, Cothran & Herndon Post Office Box 12399 Columbia, SC 29211 Counsel for MCI/WorldCom

Terrance A. Spain, Esquire 901 N. Stuart St., Suite 700 Arlington, VA 22203 Counsel for The Dept. of Defense/Federal Agencies

John F. Beach, Esquire Post Office Box 11547 Columbia, SC 29211 Counsel for SC Public Communications Association John J. Pringle, Esquire Post Office Box 11547 Columbia, SC 29211 Counsel for TriVergent Communications

By:

Francis P. Mood